

Report Identification Number: NY-15-066 Prepared by: New York City Regional Office

Issue Date: 2/18/2016

This	s report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns:
	A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
×	The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
\boxtimes	The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
	The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 1 of 18



Abbreviations

	Relationships	
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle
	Contacts	
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother
CPR-Cardio-pulmonary Resuscitation		
	Allegations	
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Others	
	Miscellaneous	
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police
Service	Services	Department
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services		

Case Information

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 2 of 18



Report Type: Child Deceased **Jurisdiction:** Bronx **Date of Death:** 07/13/2015

Age: 19 day(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 07/14/2015

Presenting Information

According to the information submitted via the OCFS-7065, Agency Reporting Form for Serious Injuries, Accidents, or Deaths of Children in Foster Care and Deaths of Children in Open Child Protective or Preventive Cases, in June 2015, the mother gave birth to a male infant at 24 weeks gestation. The infant weighed 1 pound, 5 ounces at birth, was born with a positive toxicology for cocaine, had pre-existing medical conditions, and had an approximate 50 percent chance of survival. The information also stated that the mother tested positive for cocaine at the time of the infant's birth, and that the mother admitted to ACS staff that on 6/23/15, she drank three glasses of red wine. Additionally, the mother said she was not engaged in any drug rehabilitative program. On 6/29/15, an Article Ten Neglect petition was filed on behalf of the infant.

Executive Summary

This medically fragile 3-week-old male infant died on 7/13/15. There was no autopsy performed. According to information provided by the ME, the infant's death was only referred to the ME for cremation clearance.

The ACS findings showed that in June 2015 the mother gave birth to the infant at 24 weeks gestation. At birth, the infant was diagnosed with a medical condition; he weighed 1.5 pounds and had a positive toxicology for cocaine. Following his birth, the infant remained hospitalized at St. Barnabas Hospital for treatment. A report was registered by the SCR at the time of the infant's birth. The allegations included IG and PD/AM of the infant and half siblings by the mother.

The Child Care Review Services reflected that the infant was placed in ACS' care on 6/24/15. He was added to the existing Family Court case and on 6/29/15 the infant and two half siblings were remanded to the care and custody of the Commissioner of ACS. The infant continued to require hospitalized care. His medical condition deteriorated and on 7/11/15 he was transferred from St. Barnabas Hospital to Montefiore Children's Hospital where he remained until the time of his death.

On 7/14/15, the SCR received additional information regarding the infant's death. The SCR noted that the additional information would be merged to the open case. According to the information provided to the SCR, the infant had been in the hospital since he was born. The initial report showed that the mother tested positive for cocaine when the infant was born. The cause of death was listed as unknown

ACS submitted the completed OCFS-7065 Agency Reporting Form for Serious Injuries, Accidents or Deaths of Children in Foster Care and Deaths of Children in Open Child Protective or Preventive cases, notifying OCFS of the infant's death, within the required timeframe. The information regarding the infant's death was submitted to OCFS under Chapter 485 of the Laws of 2006. ACS included the information in the open CPS investigation case for further exploration.

ACS staff made adequate contact with family members and collaterals. The staff contacted Montefiore Hospital medical staff who said the parents did not request an autopsy and there were no concerns pertaining to the infant's

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 3 of 18

death and as a result, there was no autopsy for the infant. The medical staff stated beginning 7/11/15, the infant's condition became critical. The infant was removed from the respirator with the parents' consent. The parents and PGM were in the hospital at the time the infant was pronounced dead. The hospital staff provided the family with referrals for bereavement services. ACS' documentation showed that the agency requested the hospital medical records. However, the case documentation did not establish that ACS actually received these records.

Following the infant's death the Jewish Child Care Association (JCCA) foster care agency appropriately maintained case planning responsibility for the two surviving half siblings. The Family Services Progress Notes showed that the 7-year-old half sibling received inpatient care at a children's hospital. This half sibling was expected to remain in the hospital where he received treatment and medication monitoring to regulate his behavior. He received group, individual and family therapy. The 5-year-old half sibling remained in the non-kinship therapeutic foster home under the supervision of JCCA. His placement was stable as this was his only placement since 7/13/15. The non-kinship foster parent met this half sibling's needs, including supervision of sibling visits.

The case planner documented that the mother complied with the service plan and she continued to test negative for all substances. On 12/21/15, the Family Court judge approved extended liberal visitation between the mother and 5-yearold half sibling; with some provisions.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety Assessmen

\circ	Safety assessment	due at the time	of determination?	N/A

Determination:

- Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations N/A as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation?
- N/A Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate?

Explain:

N/A

Was the decision to close the case appropriate? N/A Yes

Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory or

regulatory requirements?

Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation? Yes, the case record has

detail of the consultation.

Explain:

N/A

Required Actions Related to the Fatality

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? $\square Yes \square No$

Fatality-Related Information and Investigative Activities

NY-15-066 Page 4 of 18



Incident Information

	Thetael	it initiation
Date of Death: 07/13/2015		Time of Death: 06:30 PM
County where fatality incide	ent occurred:	BRONX
Was 911 or local emergency	number called?	No
Did EMS to respond to the s	cene?	No
At time of incident leading to	o death, had child used alc	ohol or drugs? N/A
Child's activity at time of ind	cident:	
☑ Sleeping	☐ Working	☐ Driving / Vehicle occupant
☐ Playing	\square Eating	\square Unknown
☐ Other	-	
Did child have supervision a	t time of incident leading t	o death? Yes
Is the caretaker listed in the Composition? No	Household	
At time of incident supervisor impaired.	or was: Not	
Total number of deaths at in Children ages 0-18: 1	ncident event:	

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	No Role	Male	19 Day(s)
Other Household 1	Grandparent	No Role	Female	54 Year(s)
Other Household 1	Mother	No Role	Female	27 Year(s)
Other Household 2	Sibling	No Role	Female	5 Year(s)
Other Household 2	Sibling	No Role	Male	7 Year(s)

LDSS Response

ACS obtained information through interviews with the household members and collaterals. ACS reviewed medical record and educational records; monitored the foster care services and provided updated information to the Bronx County Family Court as required. ACS learned that prior to closing the PPRS case, the Mental Health Association Family Link Program provided funds to the family to cover the cost and process of the cremation for the infant.

The Jewish Child Care Association (JCCA) agency was assigned case planning responsibility for the family. Regarding



the family member resources, the mother refused to provide information about the infant's father. ACS contacted (by telephone) the father of the 5-year-old half sibling, conducted database checks and advised this father to attend the next Family Court hearing pertaining to plans for this half sibling. However, this half sibling's father did not make himself available to the agency and was not involved in planning for this half sibling's care. There was no information concerning the father of the 7-year-old half sibling. The MA was a possible kinship resource, but she resided in the same home as the mother, and the case record identified that factor as a conflict of interest. According to the ACS case record, since 2009, the MGM had been receiving treatment for her mental health condition and she complied with the therapeutic and other related appointments. ACS noted that due to the MGM's diagnosis, she was unable to provide care of the half siblings.

An Investigative Progress Note dated 7/14/15 reflected that ACS contacted Montefiore Children's Hospital and requested the infant's hospital records. However, on 1/6/16, NYCRO received written information from ACS indicating that a formal request for the hospital records had not been made. ACS did not provide a clear explanation for the failure to request the hospital records.

ACS reviewed the 5-year-old half sibling's medical records which reflected that this child had a well-child evaluation on 2/27/15, there were no medical concerns and no medication was prescribed. The Dr. had recommended follow up with medical specialist for developmental evaluation. The case planner visited this child's educational program in October 2015 and was informed that he required support services. This half sibling received tutoring services to improve academic performance. The 7-year-old half sibling received inpatient care with Bronx Lebanon Hospital. Subsequently, on 9/3/15, he was discharged from Bronx Lebanon Hospital and transferred to a children's hospital where he was expected to remain until his behavior was regulated. He showed improvement and was recommended for transfer to a residential treatment facility upon release from the hospital.

As a result of the Family Court stipulations, the mother was mandated to substance abuse and mental health services. ACS verified that on 7/23/15 and 7/29/15, the mother attended her therapeutic and drug treatment programs, respectively. In September and October 2015, the mother did not attend the programs as required. However, the JCCA case record showed that a report dated 12/12/15 reflected that the mother was compliant with her service plan and she tested negative on all toxicology screenings. ACS' review of the mother's DV history revealed she was listed as a victim in three domestic incident reports (DIR) and a suspect in one DIR. The mother did not receive DV services.

The JCCA staff completed the required quantity of casework contacts. During December 2015, the case planner last visited the foster home and observed the 5-year-old half sibling was fine. The home was clean, there was sufficient food stored in the refrigerator and cabinet and the sleeping arrangement was satisfactory. The staff monitored the care of the 7-year-old half sibling who resided in the children's hospital.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Natural

Primary Cause of Death: From a medical cause

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Hospital physician

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? No

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 6 of 18



CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

Yes

No

N/A

Unable to

Comments: There is no OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team in the New York City region.

					Determine
All children observed?	\boxtimes]	
When appropriate, children were interviewed?	X				
Contact with source?	X				
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?		X			
Medical Examiner / Coroner					X
Was a death-scene investigation performed?			X		
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?			X		
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?	X				
Fatality Safety Assessment A					
		og N	[0	N/A	Unable to
	Y		0	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the househo	old?				Determine
Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immediate the household named in the report:	old?				Determine
Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immedia	old?	to survivi			Determine
Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immediate in the household named in the report:	old?	to survivi	ng sibl	□ ings/ot	Determine Determine
Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immedianthe household named in the report: Within 24 hours?	old? ate danger	to survivi	ng sibl	ings/ot	Determine ther children
Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immedianthe household named in the report: Within 24 hours? At 7 days?	ate danger	to survivi	ng sibl	ings/ot	Determine ther children
Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immediant the household named in the report: Within 24 hours? At 7 days? At 30 days? Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving	ate danger	to survivi	ng sibl	ings/ot	her children

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 7 of 18

X

When safety factors were present that placed the surviving

siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate



danger of serious harm, were the sa parent/caretaker actions adequate?	•				
	Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessn	nent Profile			
			1		
		Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Was the risk assessment/RAP adeq	uate in this case?			×	
During the course of the investigati gathered to assess risk to all surviv household?		×			
Was there an adequate assessment	of the family's need for services?	×			
Did the protective factors in this capetition in Family Court at any timinvestigation?	-	X			
Were appropriate/needed services	offered in this case	×			
Placement Activities in Response to the Fatality Investigation					
		Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the safety factors in the case sh siblings/other children in the house foster care at any time during this	hold be removed and placed in	Yes	No	N/A	
siblings/other children in the house	hold be removed and placed in fatality investigation? children in the household				
siblings/other children in the house foster care at any time during this Were there surviving siblings/other	hold be removed and placed in fatality investigation? children in the household	X			
siblings/other children in the house foster care at any time during this Were there surviving siblings/other removed as a result of this fatality	hold be removed and placed in fatality investigation? children in the household report/investigation?	X X			Determine
siblings/other children in the house foster care at any time during this to the word where there surviving siblings/other removed as a result of this fatality of the fatality of the fatality of the second control of the fatality of the fa	hold be removed and placed in fatality investigation? children in the household report/investigation? Court remanded the half siblings to the	⊠ ⊠ Me care and			Determine
siblings/other children in the house foster care at any time during this to the word where there surviving siblings/other removed as a result of this fatality of the fatality of the fatality of the second control of the fatality of the fa	hold be removed and placed in fatality investigation? children in the household report/investigation?	⊠ ⊠ Me care and			Determine
siblings/other children in the house foster care at any time during this to the word where there surviving siblings/other removed as a result of this fatality of the fatality of the fatality of the second control of the fatality of the fa	hold be removed and placed in fatality investigation? children in the household report/investigation? Court remanded the half siblings to the Legal Activity Related to the Fatalian in the place of the court remanded the half siblings to the court remanded t	⊠ ⊠ Me care and ality	custody of 0		Determine
siblings/other children in the house foster care at any time during this is. Were there surviving siblings/other removed as a result of this fatality is. If Yes, court ordered? Explain as necessary: Prior to the infant's death, the Family. Was there legal activity as a result of the infant's death.	hold be removed and placed in fatality investigation? children in the household report/investigation? Court remanded the half siblings to the Legal Activity Related to the Fatalian in the place of the court remanded the half siblings to the court remanded t	⊠ ⊠	custody of 0		Determine
siblings/other children in the house foster care at any time during this is. Were there surviving siblings/other removed as a result of this fatality is. If Yes, court ordered? Explain as necessary: Prior to the infant's death, the Family. Was there legal activity as a result of the infant's death.	child be removed and placed in fatality investigation? children in the household report/investigation? Court remanded the half siblings to the Legal Activity Related to the Fatality investigation? There were the fatality investigation?	⊠ ⊠	custody of 0		Determine

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 8 of 18



	After	but	Unknown		but		Lead to
	Death	Refused	if Used	Offered	Unavaliable		Referral
Bereavement counseling	X						
Economic support						\boxtimes	
Funeral arrangements	\boxtimes						
Housing assistance						×	
Mental health services	×						
Foster care	×						
Health care	×						
Legal services	×						
Family planning						×	
Homemaking Services						\boxtimes	
Parenting Skills	\boxtimes						
Domestic Violence Services			×				
Early Intervention						\boxtimes	
Alcohol/Substance abuse	\boxtimes						
Child Care						X	
Intensive case management						X	
Family or others as safety resources	X						
Other						\boxtimes	
dditional information, if necessary:							

At the time of the infant's death, there were no children in the mother's care.

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? Yes

Explain:

The half siblings received case management, mental health and developmental disability services.

Were services provided to parent(s) and other care givers to address any immediate needs related to the fatality? Yes

Explain:

The mother received mental health and drug treatment services.

History Prior to the Fatality

Child Information



Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment?	Yes
Was there an open CPS case with this child at the time of death?	Yes
Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death?	Yes
Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this child's death?	Yes
Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death?	Yes

Infants Under One Year Old						
During pregnancy, mother: ☐ Had medical complications / infections ☐ Misused over-the-counter or prescription drugs ☐ Experienced domestic violence ☐ Was not noted in the case record to have any of the issues listed	☑ Had heavy alcohol use☐ Smoked tobacco☑ Used illicit drugs					
Infant was born: ☑ Drug exposed ☐ With neither of the issues listed noted in case record	☐ With fetal alcohol effects or syndrome					

CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
01/28/2014	6555 - Sibling, Male, 3 Years	6554 - Mother, Female, 25 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	No
	6556 - Sibling, Male, 6 Years	6554 - Mother, Female, 25 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	

Report Summary:

The 1/28/14 SCR report alleged that the mother had a long history of having mental health concerns. On 1/28/14, at approximately 3:00 A.M., the mother attempted to harm herself with an unknown sharp object while the 6-year-old and 3-year-old half siblings were in the apartment. The SCR report noted that there were no injuries to either of the half siblings. The grandmother had an unknown role.

Determination: Indicated **Date of Determination:** 03/27/2014

Basis for Determination:

ACS substantiated the allegation of IG of the two half siblings by the mother on the basis that these children observed the mother attempting to hurt herself. ACS noted that the mother was willing to participate in services for the family.

OCFS Review Results:

ACS verified that the half siblings observed the mother attempting to cut herself. ACS staff observed the mother had injuries on her arms resulting from her hurting herself. The mother received medical/mental health evaluation. The hospital attending Dr. said the mother had a mental health condition but was not prescribed medication. On 3/13/14, ACS verified the mother began outpatient therapy services.

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 10 of 18



The half siblings had no injuries and they were medically cleared at the hospital. The 8-year-old cousin was fine. The safety assessments did not identify the safety factor which placed the children in immediate danger. However, ACS provided intervention services and initiated PPRS.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? $\square Yes \square No$

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
08/26/2014	6551 - Sibling, Male, 6 Years	6550 - Mother, Female, 26 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	No

Report Summary:

The 8/26/14 SCR report alleged that the half sibling who was then 6 years old was diagnosed with mental health conditions and was admitted to the hospital on 8/7/14. The report also alleged that since then the mother had refused to follow up with the hospital. The half sibling was expected to be released within the week following 8/26/14 and the whereabouts of the mother were unknown. In addition, the report alleged that the mother did not make an alternate plan for the half sibling and the half sibling had nowhere to go as a result. The roles of the MGM and other half sibling were unknown.

Determination: Indicated **Date of Determination:** 10/25/2014

Basis for Determination:

ACS substantiated the allegation of IG of the half sibling who was then 6 years old on the basis that the mother did not provide a valid reason for not contacting the hospital and for not making herself available when hospital staff made numerous attempts to contact her regarding this half sibling.

OCFS Review Results:

ACS found that the mother did not respond to some hospital attempts to contact her. The mother denied the allegation of the report. She subsequently visited the hospital and the half sibling was discharged to her care on 9/3/14. On 9/4/14, ACS staff interviewed this half sibling who said the mother visited him twice and she also contacted him by telephone. ACS obtained medical records which showed the 4-year-old half sibling was diagnosed with developmental disability and was healthy. The Dr. informed ACS that the mother did not follow up with recommendations and the mother missed deadlines for the 6-year-old half sibling. The case remained open for PPRS.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \Box Yes \boxtimes No

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
02/06/2015	6548 - Sibling, Male, 7 Years	6546 - Mother, Female, 26 Years	Educational Neglect	Indicated	No
	6548 - Sibling, Male, 7 Years	6546 - Mother, Female, 26 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	
	6547 - Sibling, Male, 4 Years	6546 - Mother, Female, 26 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	

Report Summary:

The 2/6/15 SCR report alleged that the 7-year-old half sibling was absent from school 20 days and failed classes as a result. The mother was aware and she said the child refused to go to school and the child also fought with her. The other half sibling had no role.

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 11 of 18



ACS substantiated the allegations of EdN of the 7-year-old half sibling by the mother. ACS added to the report and substantiated the allegation of IG of the two half siblings by the mother on the basis that the mother was non-compliant with the PPRS service plan, she failed to ensure that the 7-year-old half sibling attend school on a regular basis and she did not follow up with the recommendations of the medical provider and developmental specialist. ACS added that the mother had a mental health condition and had not followed up with the prescribed treatment and medication regime.

OCFS Review Results:

ACS found that the 7-year-old half sibling had excessive school absences. The mother said this child exhibited uncontrollable behavior. ACS noted that the mother was provided with school transport service but she was not outside of her home and/or available to place this child on the school bus. She did not follow up with mental health appointments for this child and she failed to follow the physician's recommendation for the 4-year-old half sibling. Also, the mother had not re-engaged in her own mental health treatment plan. The family continued to receive PPRS services. In the CPS Investigation Summary, ACS did not note that the 7-year-old half sibling failed classes.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
06/25/2015	6543 - Sibling, Male, 5 Years	6542 - Mother, Female, 27 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Indicated	Yes
	6544 - Sibling, Male, 7 Years	6542 - Mother, Female, 27 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	
	6541 - Deceased Child, Male, 19 Days	6542 - Mother, Female, 27 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	
	6541 - Deceased Child, Male, 19 Days	6542 - Mother, Female, 27 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Indicated	
	6543 - Sibling, Male, 5 Years	6542 - Mother, Female, 27 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	
	6544 - Sibling, Male, 7 Years	6542 - Mother, Female, 27 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Indicated	

Report Summary:

The 6/25/15 SCR report alleged that the infant was born in June 2015, at 24 weeks gestation. The report also alleged the infant weighed 1 pound 5 ounces and tested positive for cocaine. The mother also tested positive for cocaine at delivery.

Determination: Indicated **Date of Determination:** 08/24/2015

Basis for Determination:

ACS substantiated the allegations of IG and PD/AM of the infant by the mother. ACS added to the report and substantiated the allegations of IG and PD/AM of the two half siblings by the mother. ACS noted the mother and infant tested positive for cocaine and the mother had been using alcohol since she was 19 years old. The mother failed to receive prenatal care. She did not follow up with the mental health services for the 7-year-old half sibling which resulted in extended hospitalization for this child. The mother did not fully comply with her mental health service, including the scheduled mental health and therapeutic appointments.

OCFS Review Results:

ACS verified the mother and infant tested positive for cocaine. ACS observed the infant in the hospital Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. On 6/25/15, the mother informed ACS staff she was aware of her pregnancy but did not obtain prenatal care nor plan for the infant's care. She denied she used drugs but she said she drank three glasses of wine prior to the infant's birth. The MGM and MA said the mother often used alcohol. The infant and half siblings were remanded to ACS.

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 12 of 18



Although the infant was never in the mother's care, ACS substantiated the a the mother. ACS did not update CONNECTIONS records to include the MO	•			infant by
Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes	-	u minor cot	19111.	
Issue:				
Appropriateness of allegation determination				
Summary:				
The infant was in the hospital and he was not released to the mother's care. PD/AM of the infant by the mother. However, in the Investigation Conclusidrug use prior to the infant's birth. ACS did not provide an explanation of thinfant.	on Narrative	e, ACS disc	cussed the m	other's
Legal Reference:				
18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(iii)(c)				
Action:				
ACS must meet with the staff involved in this fatality investigation and infoattended, and what was discussed. ACS must submit a corrective action plantas taken or will take to address this issue.				-
CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years	s Prior to the	Fatality		
There was no CPS history more than three years prior to the fatality.				
Known CPS History Outside of N	YS			
There was no known CPS history outside of NYS.				
Services Open at the Time of the	Fatality			
Was the deceased child(ren) involved in an open Child Protective Servi Date the Child Protective Services case was opened: 02/21/2014	ces case at 1	the time of	the fatality	? Yes
Evaluative Review of Services that were Open at the	e Time of the	Fatality		
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determin
Did the service provider(s) comply with the timeliness and content requirements for progress notes?		X		
Did the services provided meet the service needs as outlined in the case record?	×			
Did all service providers comply with mandated reporter requirements?	×			

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 13 of 18



Was the decision to close the Services case appropriate?			×	Determine
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to
Closing				
Was the FASP consistent with the case circumstances?	X			
Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP?	X			
Was the most recent FASP approved on time?	×			
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Family Assessment and Service Plan	ı (FASP)			
	(EACD)			
Were services provided to parents as necessary to achieve safety, permanency, and well-being?	×			
Were services provided to siblings/other children in the household as necessary to achieve safety, permanency, and well-being?	X			
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Services r rovided				
Services Provided				
Were face-to-face contacts with the child in the child's placement location made with the required frequency?	X			
Did the service provider comply with case work contacts, including face-to-face contact as required by regulations pertaining to the program choice?	×			
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Casework Contacts				
	1	-		
If yes, was the response appropriate to the circumstances?	×			
Was there information in the case record that indicated the existence of behaviors or conditions that placed the children in the case in danger or increased their risk of harm?	×			

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 14 of 18



Required Action(s)				
Are there Required Actions related to compliance issues for provision $\square Yes \ \ $	us of CPS or	Preventive	services?	
Preventive Services History	V .			
During the investigation of the 1/28/14 report, ACS found that the mother treatment. In 2013, the mother was evicted from her home and she relocate experienced family conflicts. The two half siblings were diagnosed with a expressed her inability to manage the half siblings' behavior. On 2/21/14, monitored the family until 4/14/14 when the agency referred the family for agreement for PPRS.	ed to reside in levelopmenta ACS opened	n the MGM ³ I disabilities the Family	s home who and the mose Services St	ere she other age and
The Family Services Progress Notes (FSPN) showed ACS and the PPRS casework contacts. However, the mother did not follow up with education years old and she did not comply with the 7-year-old half sibling's mental discontinued her own mental health treatment services. On 2/13/15, ACS County Family Court and the judge paroled the half siblings to the mother homemaking and intensive management services. In June 2015, the mother the infant and half siblings were remanded to the care and custody of ACS	al evaluation I health service filed an Artice r with ACS suer gave birth t	for the half ces. In Decen le Ten Negl spervision.	sibling whomber 2014, ect petition The family	o was then 4 the mother in the Bronz received
Foster Care at the Time of the	e Fatality			
The deceased child(ren) were in foster care at the time of the fatality? Date deceased child(ren) was placed in care: Date of placement with most recent caregiver? How did the child(ren) enter placement?	Yes 06/24/2015 06/24/2015 Court Order			
Review of Foster Care When Child was in Foster Car	e at the time o	f the Fatality		
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Does the case record document that sufficient steps were taken to safeguard this child's safety while in this placement?	×			
Did the placement comply with the appropriateness of placement standards?	×			
Was the most recent placement stable?	×			
Did the agency comply with sibling placement standards?	×			
Was the child AWOL at the time of death?		×		

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 15 of 18



Visitation Unable to No N/A Yes **Determine** Was the visitation plan appropriate for the child? \boxtimes X \Box П \Box Was visitation facilitated in accordance with the regulations? Was there supervision of visits as required? 冈 П **Casework Contacts** Unable to N/A Yes No Determine Were face-to-face contacts with the child in the child's placement X \Box location made with the required frequency? Were face-to-face contacts with the parent/relative/discharge resource |X| \Box \Box made with required frequency? Were face-to-face contacts with the parent/relative/discharge resource X in the parent/relative/discharge resource's home made with required frequency? Were all of the casework contact requirements for contacts with the \boxtimes caretakers made, including requirements for contact at the child's placement location? **Provider Oversight/Training** Unable to N/A Yes No **Determine** Did the agency provide the foster parents with required information |X|regarding the child's health, handicaps, and behavioral issues? Did the provider comply with discipline standards? X Were the foster parents receiving enhanced levels of foster care \Box X \Box payments because of child need? If yes, was foster parent provided a training program approved by OCFS that prepared the foster parent with appropriate N \Box knowledge and skills to meet the needs of the child? Was the certification/approval for the placement current? X Was a Criminal History check conducted? \square Date: X Was a check completed through the State Central Register?

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 16 of 18



Date:						
Was a check con Date:	mpleted through the Staff Exclusion List?			X		
	rmation, if necessary: e surviving half siblings remain in foster care placement					
	Required Action(s)					
Are there Requi □Yes ⊠No	ired Actions related to the compliance issues for prov	vision of Foste	r Care Serv	vices?		
	Foster Care Placement Hist	ory				
Family Court heat cocaine and the resiblings to the care At the time of the developmental are 6/29/15, ACS tracexplored family at the goal of placing under the supervisions.	or and it was anticipated that he would remain hospitaliaring, ACS requested remand of the newborn infant due nother's non-compliance with Family Court mandates. The and custody of the Commissioner of ACS. The infant's birth, the 7-year-old half sibling was in a med and mental health needs. The 5-year-old half sibling was insported the 5-year-old half sibling to the Children's Coresources for foster care or parole purposes. Also, ACS and the siblings together. On 7/13/15, the 5-year-old half ision of the Jewish Child Care Association agency. The ent in the same foster home. On the same day, ACS recent	to the mother The judge remains a facility when the information of the placer of the p	ere he received care of the ment in a fost ement Assistanced in a the first sibling remon of the infatter.	esting positive fant and two wed treatmen maternal auster home. A stance worke erapeutic for nained hospi	o half that for his nt. On CS ed towards ster home	
	Legal History Within Three Years Prio	r to the Fatality				
Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investigation? □ Criminal Court □ Order of Protection						
Family Court Po	etition Type: FCA Article 10 - CPS					
Date Filed:	Fact Finding Description:	isposition Des	cription:			
02/13/2015	Adjudicated Neglected T	here was not a	disposition	 -		
Respondent:	023043 Mother Female 27 Year(s)					
Comments:	According to information provided to NYCRO by AC Neglect petition regarding the deceased infant. Foster half siblings, and it appeared that the mother was worldisposition hearing was scheduled for 3/7/16.	care placement	continued t	for the two s	urviving	

NY-15-066 FINAL Page 17 of 18



Recommended Action(s)
Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy changes? □Yes ⊠No
Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? Yes No