

Report Identification Number: AL-17-031

Prepared by: New York State Office of Children & Family Services

Issue Date: Apr 05, 2018

This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns: A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.



Abbreviations

Relationships					
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child			
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child			
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father			
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider			
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father			
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle			
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling	PS-Parent Sub			
CH/CHN-Child/Children	OA-Other Adult				
	Contacts				
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner			
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services			
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother			
CPS-Child Protective Services					
	Allegations				
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts			
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding			
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse			
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect			
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive			
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision			
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Other				
	Miscellaneous				
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender			
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence			
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police			
Service	Services	Department			
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families	FC-Foster Care			
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room	COS-Court Ordered Services			
OP-Order of Protection	RAP-Risk Assessment Profile	FASP-Family Assessment Plan			
FAR-Family Assessment Response	Hx-History	Tx-Treatment			
CAC-Child Advocacy Center	PIP-Program Improvement Plan	yo- year(s) old			
CPR-Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation	<u> </u>				



Case Information

Report Type: Child Deceased Jurisdiction: Albany Date of Death: 10/25/2017

Age: 2 month(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 10/26/2017

Presenting Information

On 10/25/2017, the 2-month-old SC was declared brain dead and passed away after he suffered a cardiac arrest incident resulting from co-sleeping with SM on 10/23/2017. Both parents were home and it was unknown if SF was sleeping in bed with SC and SM. The 3 SS had unknown roles.

Executive Summary

On 10/26/2017, Albany County Department for Children, Youth and Families (ACDCYF) received an SCR report regarding the death of the 2-month-old SC. The fatality report was subsequent to an SCR report received on 10/23/2017, regarding the incident that led to SC's death.

The SC was born premature at 33 weeks gestation and was admitted to the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) for a month and discharged home on 9/8/2017. SC initially required oxygen and tube feedings. There were some medical concerns noted and SC was scheduled for a follow up appointment on 11/7/2017.

On 10/23/2017, SF found the SC to be unresponsive in the adult full-sized bed next to SM. SF began CPR, woke SM, and she started performing CPR then called 911 at 1:44 AM. When LE and EMS arrived, SC was warm to the touch, had no pulse and was not breathing. Resuscitative efforts ensued, SC regained a pulse and was transported to Albany Medical Center via ambulance where he was placed on life support. SC was declared brain dead on 10/25/2017, was taken off life support and passed away at 11:52 AM.

The final autopsy report listed the diagnoses of "apparent cardiac arrest during bed sharing, hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, bronchopneumonia, thymic involution, history of prematurity, and no congenital abnormalities". The death certificate listed the manner of death as "undetermined circumstances" and the cause of death as "death during bed sharing" with "prematurity" being another significant condition contributing to death, but not related to cause of death.

ACDCYF assessed the safety of the 15, 10 and 7 yo SS and interviewed them at school. ACDCYF interviewed the 18 yo adult sibling, SM, SF, and SM's cousin that was in the home the night prior to the incident. The home was assessed to meet a minimum degree of care with proper sleeping arrangements for the children. There was a portable crib in the home that was full of items and was not being utilized for the SC. The 3 SS visited their BM on weekends. ACDCYF added the BM to the case, mailed a Notice of Existence letter and attempted to contact her, although she did not respond. ACDCYF contacted hospital staff, school staff, the pediatrician, LE, the Coroner, substance abuse treatment provider, and first responders from the fire department and EMS. Several progress notes were entered non-contemporaneously, up to 4 months past the event date. LE investigated the incident and no criminal charges were filed.

The investigation revealed concerns for alcohol and marijuana misuse by the SF and during the investigation marijuana was left accessible to the children. ACDCYF referred the SF and SM for a substance abuse evaluation. Both parents completed an evaluation and there were no treatment recommendations for SM. SF denied the need for treatment and declined services. ACDCYF offered the parents Preventive Services, although they declined. Information was provided to the parents on grief counseling and MH counseling services. SM began MH counseling and the 3 SS started receiving counseling services at school.

ACDCYF substantiated the allegations of IG and DOA/Fatality against SM and SF regarding SC. It was determined the



SM was co-sleeping with SC in an adult bed with pillows and a blanket. SF was sitting next to the bed and left the room for a period of at least 15 minutes, when he returned and found SC unresponsive. Pediatrician and hospital records showed the parents were aware of safe sleep recommendations and of the SC's prematurity and breathing complications, however continued to co-sleep with the SC. The SC suffered lack of oxygen to the brain, which resulted in his death.

PIP Requirement

ACDCYF will submit a PIP to the Albany Regional Office within 30 days of receipt of this report. The PIP will identify action(s) the ACDCYF has taken, or will take, to address the cited issue(s). For issues where a PIP is currently implemented, ACDCYF will review the plan and revise as needed to address ongoing concerns.

E. I. DIAIA CDCI (* (* CAI	E 4 1.4
Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the	ie Fatality
Safety Assessment:	
 Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the: 	
 Approved Initial Safety Assessment? 	Yes
 Safety assessment due at the time of determination? 	Yes
• Was the safety decision on the approved Initial Safety Assessment appropriate?	Yes
Determination:	
 Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation? 	Yes, sufficient information was gathered to determine all allegations.
 Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate? 	Yes
Explain:	
The decision to indicate and close the investigation was appropriate.	
Was the decision to close the case appropriate?	Yes
Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory or regulatory requirements?	Yes
Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation?	Yes, the case record notes a consultation took place, but no details noted.
Explain: The decision to close the case was appropriate. Preventive Services were offered, by	ut refused.
Required Actions Related to the Fatality	

AL-17-031 FINAL Page 4 of 13

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \boxtimes Yes \square No



Children ages 0-18: 1

Adults: 0

Child Fatality Report

Issue:	Timely/Adequate Case Recording/Progress Notes
Summary:	Several progress notes were entered non-contemporaneously, up to 4 months past the event date.
Legal Reference:	18 NYCRR 428.5
Action:	Progress notes will be entered contemporaneously to accurately reflect casework activities.

Fatality-Related Information and Investigative Activities

	Incident	Information	
Date of Death: 10/25/2017		Time of Death: 11:52 AN	Л
Date of fatal incident, if differen	t than date of death:		10/23/2017
Time of fatal incident, if differen	at than time of death:		Unknown
County where fatality incident o	ccurred:		Albany
Was 911 or local emergency nun	nber called?		Yes
Time of Call:			01:44 AM
Did EMS respond to the scene?			Yes
At time of incident leading to dea	ath, had child used alcol	hol or drugs?	N/A
Child's activity at time of incider	nt:	<u> </u>	
⊠ Sleeping	☐ Working	\square Di	riving / Vehicle occupant
Playing	☐ Eating		nknown
Other		_	
Did child have supervision at tin	ne of incident leading to	death? Yes	
How long before incident was th	e child last seen by care	taker? 15 Minutes	
Is the caretaker listed in the Hou	-		
At time of incident supervisor wa	as:	-	
Drug Impaired		Absent Absent	
Alcohol Impaired		Asleep	
Distracted		Impaired by illnes	s
Impaired by disability		Other:	
Total number of deaths at incide	ent event:		

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Male	2 Month(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Father	Alleged Perpetrator	Male	42 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	Alleged Perpetrator	Female	29 Year(s)

AL-17-031 FINAL Page 5 of 13



Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	7 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Female	10 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Female	15 Year(s)
Other Household 1	Other Adult - BM of SS	No Role	Female	37 Year(s)

LDSS Response

ACDCYF began the investigation into the incident that lead to SC's death after receipt of an SCR report on 10/23/2017. Through interviews conducted by ACDCYF, it was learned SM fed SC a bottle about 10:00 PM on 10/22/20107, then fell asleep around 12:15 AM. The SC was asleep at that time, swaddled and lying on his back, vertical to SM and near the headboard. SF was sitting on a stool next to the bed playing video games. SC was positioned behind SF and SM was on the other side of SC. There were several pillows on the bed and a blanket. SF went to the bathroom and was gone for approximately 15 minutes. When SF returned, he checked on SC, who didn't move when touched. SF noticed SC was not breathing and there was blood under his nose. SF started performing CPR and blood bubbles came out of SC's nose. SF woke SM and she began performing CPR and then called 911 at 1:44 AM. SF woke up the 18 yo adult sibling and she woke the 15 yo SS. The 10 and 7 yo SS were sleeping and unaware of the incident. LE and EMS arrived and transported SC to the hospital. SF admitted to drinking 2 large beers on 10/23/2017, although denied he was intoxicated. SF denied that he used any drugs that night, although admitted he smoked marijuana daily. SM denied that she used drugs and denied that she drank alcohol that night.

ACDCYF assessed the safety of the SS and interviewed the 15 and 7 yo SS. The 10 yo SS was unable to be fully interviewed due to a developmental disability. The SS reported SC was alert and doing well when they last saw him around 8:00 PM lying in bed next to SM and the SF was playing video games. They reported SC often slept in bed with the parents and the bassinet was inconsistently used. The 15 yo reported being woken up by the 18 yo and told the SC was not breathing and she observed LE and EMS in the home. The 7 yo reported not knowing about the incident until he woke up in the morning. The 3 SS visited their BM on weekends. She was added to the case, sent a Notice of Existence letter, and attempts were made to contact her.

ACDCYF interviewed SM's cousin who was at the home on 10/23/2017. He reported SM fed SC between 9-10:00 PM and SC was awake and alert at that time. He saw SF drink a beer, but denied he was intoxicated. He was in the parents' bedroom with them from 10:00 PM until he left the home at 11:30 PM. When he left, the SS were in their bedrooms sleeping, SC was sleeping, SM was falling asleep and SF was playing video games. He had no concerns for the care of the children.

ACDCYF referred SM and SF for substance abuse evaluations due to concerns raised for marijuana use. SF declined the recommended services and there were no services recommended for SM. The home was assessed to be safe and there was a sober caretaker in the home at each home visit. A locked box was used to keep unsafe items, such as marijuana, out of reach of the children. ACDCYF provided the parents with information on bereavement and MH counseling services. SM began MH counseling and the children started receiving counseling at school.

ACDCYF contacted the necessary collaterals and gathered the documents required to substantiate the allegations against SM and SF. The pathologist that performed the autopsy stated when oxygen to the brain stopped, the SC's gag reflux stopped, which allowed organisms into his lungs. There was an ulceration in his larynx due to intubation, respirator and ventilation. The reason the oxygen to the brain stopped was unknown and could have been due to SC being a preemie, the presence of smoke in the home, co-sleeping, or could have been all four combined. The death certificate listed the cause of death as "death during bed sharing", which was consistent with the information gathered. The parents declined Preventive Services and the case was closed.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

AL-17-031 FINAL Page 6 of 13



Official Manner: Undetermined

Primary Cause of Death: From a medical cause

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Coroner

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)?Yes

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team?Yes

SCR Fatality Report Summary

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome
045038 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	045040 - Father, Male, 42 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated
045038 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	045039 - Mother, Female, 29 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated
045038 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	045040 - Father, Male, 42 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Substantiated
045038 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	045039 - Mother, Female, 29 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Substantiated

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?	\boxtimes			
When appropriate, children were interviewed?	\boxtimes			
Alleged subject(s) interviewed face-to-face?	\boxtimes			
All 'other persons named' interviewed face-to-face?				
Contact with source?				
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?				
Was a death-scene investigation performed?				
Was there discussion with all parties (youth, other household members, and staff) who were present that day (if nonverbal, observation and comments in case notes)?				
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?	\boxtimes			
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?				

Additional information:

Despite attempts, the BM of the 3 SS was not spoken to. Several progress notes were entered non-contemporaneously, up to 4 months past the event date.

AL-17-031 FINAL Page 7 of 13



Fatality Safety Assessment Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household?				
Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immediate dang in the household named in the report:	ger to sur	viving sib	lings/oth	er children
Within 24 hours?	\boxtimes			
At 7 days?	\boxtimes			
At 30 days?				
Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours?				
Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district?				
When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate?				
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment	Profile			
Patanty Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment	1 I UIIIC			
Patanty Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case?		No	N/A	Unable to Determine
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household?	Yes 🖂	No	N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition	Yes 🖂		N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case	Yes		N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?	Yes		N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case	Yes		N/A	

AL-17-031 FINAL Page 8 of 13



Were there surviving children in the household that were removed either as a result of this fatality report / investigation or for reasons unrelated to this fatality?					
--	--	--	--	--	--

Legal Activity Related to the Fatality

Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.

Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

Services	Provided After Death	Offered, but Refused	Offered, Unknown if Used	Not Offered	Needed but Unavailable	N/A	CDR Lead to Referral
Bereavement counseling		\boxtimes					
Economic support							
Funeral arrangements				\boxtimes			
Housing assistance							
Mental health services							
Foster care							
Health care							
Legal services							
Family planning							
Homemaking Services							
Parenting Skills							
Domestic Violence Services							
Early Intervention							
Alcohol/Substance abuse	\boxtimes						
Child Care							
Intensive case management							
Family or others as safety resources							
Other		\boxtimes					
Other, specify: Preventive Services	•						

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? Yes

Explain:

SM children started receiving counseling at school.

Were services provided to parent(s) and other care givers to address any immediate needs related to the fatality? Yes

AL-17-031 FINAL Page 9 of 13



Explain:

The SM began MH counseling.

History Prior to the Fatality

Child Information		
Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment?		Yes
Was there an open CPS case with this child at the time of death?		Yes
Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death?		No
Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to tl	No	
Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death?		Yes
Infants Under One Year	r Old	
During pregnancy, mother:		
Had medical complications / infections	Had heavy alcol	nol use
Misused over-the-counter or prescription drugs	Smoked tobacco)
Experienced domestic violence	Used illicit drug	S
Was not noted in the case record to have any of the issues listed	_	
Infant was born:		
Drug exposed	With fetal alcoh	ol effects or syndrome
With neither of the issues listed noted in case record	_	•

CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
10/23/2017	Deceased Child, Male, 2 Months	Hathar Mala /I/ Vaare	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	Yes
1	Deceased Child, Male, 2 Months	l ' '	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	
	Deceased Child, Male, 2 Months	Sibling, Female, 18 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	

Report Summary:

An SCR report was received alleging that in the early morning hours of 10/23/17, the SM called 911 because the 2-month-old SC was not breathing. The SF had been awake and he was watching the SC, but he handed the baby to the mother so that he could use the restroom. The SM realized the SC was not breathing. It was noted that the SC had bloody bubbles coming out of his nose. The SC was transported to the hospital and was in critical condition when the SCR was notified. All of the adults were considered alleged subjects. The SS had unknown roles.

Determination: Indicated **Date of Determination:** 03/24/2018

Basis for Determination:

ACDCYF substantiated the allegations of IG against SM and SF regarding SC. SC was found unresponsive in an adult



bed while co-sleeping with SM. The parents were aware of safe sleep guidelines, were aware of the complications associated with SC being born prematurely and the episodes of breathing issues at birth, and continued to co-sleep with SC. The allegation of IG against 18 yo adult sibling regarding SC was unsubstantiated as she was just visiting the family and was not considered a person legally responsible for the SC and had no role in the incident.

OCFS Review Results:

ACDCYF interviewed the SM, SF, 18 yo adult sibling and the 3 SS. The home was assessed for safety and the SS's safety was assessed. The necessary collateral contacts were made to support substantiating the allegations. Several progress notes were entered non-contemporaneously, up to 4 months past the event date. The SC died on 10/25/2017 and a fatality investigation was conducted concurrently with this investigation.

m imming in a subminer was a summoved a subminerial with a submineria
Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No
Issue:
Timely/Adequate Case Recording/Progress Notes
Summary:

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 428.5

Action:

Progress notes will be entered contemporaneously to accurately reflect casework activities.

There were several progress notes entered up to 4 months after the event date.

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
02/27/2017	Sibling, Female, 14 Years	Father, Male, 41 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unfounded	Yes
	Sibling, Male, 6 Years	Father, Male, 41 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unfounded	
	Sibling, Female, 10 Years	Father, Male, 41 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unfounded	
	Sibling, Female, 14 Years	1	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unfounded	
	Sibling, Male, 6 Years	Father, Male, 41 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unfounded	
	Sibling, Female, 10 Years	Father, Male, 41 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unfounded	

Report Summary:

An SCR report was received alleging the SF sold drugs and there were people in and out of the residence as a result. The drug sales occurred while the children were present. The SF and others smoked marijuana in the presence of the children. On Friday 2/24/17, the SF hit the 15 yo SS (then 14 yo) on the shoulder and back of the head for an unknown reason. It was unknown if the child sustained any injuries.

Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 11/02/2017

Basis for Determination:

ACDCYF unsubstantiated the allegations of IG and PD/AM against SF regarding the 3 SS. All family members denied SF sold drugs. SF admitted he smoked marijuana, although denied smoking around the children and there was no documented negative impact on his ability to care for the children. SF admitted to an incident when he pushed the 15 yo SS on the shoulder, however there were no marks or bruises as a result.

AL-17-031 FINAL Page 11 of 13



OCFS Review Results:

There was initial contact made with the SM, SF and 3 SS and safety was assessed. The 7-day safety assessment was completed. There were no follow up contacts with the family documented until 11/1/2017. The SC was born during the open investigation and not added to the case composition. Therefore, there was no documentation that safe-sleep practices were discussed during the open case. There were several progress notes entered non-contemporaneously, up to 6 months after the event date.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \quad \text{No} \)

Issue:

A child was born during an open CPS investigation and never added to the report

Summary:

There was an open CPS Investigation that began 2/27/2017 and remained open when the SC was born on 8/8/2017. The SC was not added to the report.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(ii)(e)

Action:

When a child is born during an open CPS Investigation, the child will be promptly added to the case composition.

Issue:

Failure to provide safe sleep education/information

Summary:

There was no documentation in the case record that safe sleep was discussed with the SM and SF regarding the SC or that a safe sleep environment was observed for the SC.

Legal Reference:

13-OCFS-ADM-02

Action:

Safe sleep education will be provided and documented when there is a child under the age of one in a home in circumstances where ACDCYF has an open CPS Investigation with the family.

Issue:

Timely/Adequate Case Recording/Progress Notes

Summary:

There were several progress notes entered up to 6 months after the event date.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 428.5

Action:

Progress notes will be entered contemporaneously to accurately reflect casework activities.

CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the Fatality

An SCR report 7/4/12-9/18/12 with allegations of IF/C/S, IG and LS was unsubstantiated against the BM regarding the 15 yo and 10 yo SS.

An SCR report 8/7/12-10/3/12 with an allegation of IG was unsubstantiated against the SF regarding the 10 yo SS.

An SCR report 10/20/10-12/20/10 with an allegation of EdN was unsubstantiated against the BM regarding the 15 yo SS.

An SCR report 3/25/08-5/8/08 with allegations of LM and IG was unsubstantiated against the BM regarding the 10 yo SS.

AL-17-031 FINAL Page 12 of 13



Known CPS History Outside of NYS

There is no known CPS history outside of New York State.

Preventive Services History

From 11/02/07-8/20/09 there was an open Preventive Services case with the 15 yo and 10 yo SS and their BM. The BM needed assistance securing stable housing and applying for disability benefits and services, due to a medical condition. The BM and SS were able to secure stable housing and the BM was receiving counseling services to assist her. The case closed when all services goals were met.

From 6/4/12-6/30/13 there was a Preventive Services case with the 15 yo and 10 yo SS and their BM. The BM requested services because the family required assistance connecting the 10 yo SS with MH counseling. The BM also needed assistance with her own medication management and medical transportation. The case closed when the SS and the BM were linked with these necessary services.

Casework Contacts

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were face-to-face contacts with the child in the child's placement location made with the required frequency?			\boxtimes	

Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity

Recommended Action(s)

Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy changes? \square Yes \boxtimes No

Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? Yes No